

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/840,085 | 05/05/2004 | Young-Dong Koo | 1190860-991540 | 7843 |
| 26379 | 7590 11/01/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| | R RUDNICK GRAY (ERSITY AVENUE | NGO, HU | NGO, HUYEN LE | |
| E. PALO ALTO, CA 94303-2248 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2871 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Н Н | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 10/840,085 | KOO ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Julie-Huyen L. Ngo | 2871 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under E | · | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)□ | 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Application | on No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | d in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| _ | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | noncoppilation (FFO-102) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/840,085

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A. The species of First embodiment (figure 1)
 - B. The species of Second embodiment (figure 5)
 - C. The species of Third embodiment (figure 6)
- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and <u>a listing of all claims and any drawings readable thereon</u>, <u>including any claims subsequently added</u>. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/840,085 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Since an election to the restriction is required, a SHORTENED STATUTORY

PERIOD for response to this action is set to expire ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30)

DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned.

(35 U.S.C. §133). Extension of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Julie-Huyen L. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2295. The Examiner can normally be reached on T-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Robert H. Kim can be reached at (571) 272-2293. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications. Please contact the Examiner before faxing any paper to the Office.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

October 24, 2005

Julie Huyen L. Ngo
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2871